

Community Planning – April 18, 2016

Proposed New Revisions on Draft Comprehensive Plan Policy Document

#	Currently in:	Goal/Policy/Strategy:	Recommendation to move to:	Reason:
1	Chapter 11 Community Design Element Page 6	Goal: Promote the advancement of energy efficiency, green building, waste reduction, composting and recycling, solar and renewable energy use, and local sustainable food production; as well as actions to mitigate and adapt to climate change.	Chapter 4 Environmental Element (New Goal) Page 18	This new goal was originally proposed to be a new goal or policy in the “Community Design” element however after further review staff believes it is more appropriate for the Environmental Element.
2	Clark County Sustainability Policy Adopted October 1, 2007 by Board of Clark County Commissioners	Policy 4.11.1: Clark County is committed to fostering a safe, secure future that conserves natural resources while meeting basic human needs, including clean water, air and food, along with shelter, education, and employment. This commitment to a sustainable future will be a key consideration in making public policy, developing public programs, operating public facilities, and delivering public services.	Chapter 4 Environmental Element (New Policy under new Goal) Page 18	The Clark County Sustainability Policy was adopted by the county in October of 2007 after the 2007 Comprehensive plan update was adopted. It was the intent at that time to include it in the next comp. plan update.
3	Clark County Sustainability Policy Adopted October 1, 2007 by Board of Clark County Commissioners	Strategies: • The county will do the following to implement its sustainability policy: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Lead by example; ○ Encourage innovation in both public and private pursuits; ○ Promote and demonstrate efficient and effective use of renewable and consumable resources; ○ Collaborate with public and private partners on projects aimed at sustainability; ○ Continuously enhance our perspective and expertise in making sustainable choices on behalf of the citizens and communities of Clark County; and ○ Identify and pursue new opportunities that promote sustainable practices 	Chapter 4 Environmental Element (New strategy to implement new goal/policy set.) Page 18	The Clark County Sustainability Policy adopted in October 2007 included implementation strategies. The proposal is to move those strategies in the Sustainability Policy to the list of Strategies in the Environmental Element

#	Currently in:	Goal/Policy/Strategy:	Recommendation to move to:	Reason:
4	Housing Policy Matrix – April 2014	Policy 2.4.7 Encourage the creation of housing that is energy efficient, resource efficient and has high indoor air quality.	Chapter 6 Housing Element New policy Page 28	Recommended policy from the Growing Healthier Report, approved in 2012.
5	Housing Policy Matrix – April 2014	Policy 2.7.3 Encourage housing that is created using the principles of Universal Design.	Chapter 6 Housing Element New policy Page 29	Recommended policy from Aging Readiness Plan approved in 2012.
6	Housing Policy Matrix – April 2014	<p><u>New Strategies from Aging Readiness Plan</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Preserve and expand rental housing for seniors with incomes below 60 percent (establisher federal guidelines) of the area’s median income. • Weatherize homes to reduce energy costs. Provide information, education and assistance to moderate income households who do not qualify for the federal weatherization assistance program but cannot afford the initial weatherization investment. • Encourage a not-for-profit organization or community land trust to purchase homes, remodel using universal design principles, then resell the home at an affordable cost. To ensure the home will remain affordable, the organization or trust could employ resale-restricted principles of shared equity ownership. • Incorporate universal design principles in Clark County’s building code review process. • Facilitate the development of Accessory dwelling units (ADU’s) – by exempting them from site plan review. Consider exempting ADU’s from TIF’s and PIF’s ,if age <u>restricted to 62 plus.</u> • Allow more flexibility in the creation of duplexes by allowing them in all single family zones. • Encourage new developments of senior housing to be within a half- 	Chapter 6 Housing Element New strategies to implement new policies. Page 31	Recommended strategies from Growing Healthier Report and Aging Readiness Plan

#	Currently in:	Goal/Policy/Strategy:	Recommendation to move to:	Reason:
		<p>mile of transit, services and retail amenities</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allow cohousing to be developed in single family residential zones. • Allow assisted living facilities in single-family residential zones as a conditional use. • Partner with the Building Industry Association to provide a universal-designed home and information at the Clark County Parade of Homes <p><u>New Strategies from Growing Healthier Report</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Change zoning to allow more areas to support diverse housing types, including small-lot single-family, multifamily, duplexes, Accessory dwelling units, cottages and co-housing 		
7	Rural and Natural Resource Element	<p>Policy 3.7.2 Those areas with an Urban Reserve Comprehensive Plan overlay designation shall have a residential density of one dwelling unit per 10 and 20 acres. <u>The Urban Reserve Overlay is implemented by Urban Reserve-10 (UR-10) for future urban residential development and Urban Reserve-20 for all other types of future urban development. When applied, the underlying zoning will remain.</u></p> <p>Adding sentence to policy.</p>	N/A	Adding the second sentence to Policy 3.7.2 to better explain what each Urban Holding zoning designation is intended for and matches the language in Title 40.
8	Land Use Element	<p>20- year Plan Designation and Location Criteria: Urban Reserve Overlay</p> <p>The Urban Reserve Overlay is implemented by Urban Reserve-10 (UR-10) zoning overlay for future urban residential development and Industrial Urban Reserve-20 for all other types of future urban development.</p>	N/A	Delete “Industrial” from sentence as there is no “Industrial Urban Reserve”.
9	Land Use Element	<p>Interpretation of the 20-Year Plan Map</p> <p>Delete the Table on Overlays</p>	N/A	This is a scrivener’s error. This table was incorrectly pasted into the Land Use Element.
10	Transportation Element	<p>Policy revisions</p> <p>Many policies have been revised and three have been added (see below) since the Planning Commission review in 2014. The Transportation Element goals and policies table has since been updated to reflect these changes and forwarded to the Planning Commission for review.</p>	N/A	Please see revised Transportation Element goals and policies table for the revisions, additions and rationale for the changes.
11	Transportation Element	<p>New Policy 5.3.7 The county shall provide seamless arterial corridor operations between agencies and use common TSMO technology for signal coordination along multi-agency arterial corridors.</p>	N/A	This is a new policy that was not part of the Planning Commission review in 2014. It results from review of the

#	Currently in:	Goal/Policy/Strategy:	Recommendation to move to:	Reason:
		Please see revised Transportation Element goals and policies table.		chapter and recommendation by Public Works to include it in the update.
12	Transportation Element	New Policy 5.4.4 County roadways and intersections shall be designed when feasible to achieve safety and accessibility for all modes. Arterial streets shall provide facilities for automobile, bike, and pedestrian mobility as defined in the Arterial Atlas, and shall include landscaping. Please see revised Transportation Element goals and policies table.	N/A	This is a new policy that was not part of the Planning Commission review in 2014. It results from review of the chapter and recommendation by Public Works to include it in the update.
13	Transportation Element	New Policy 5.6.5 A proportionate share of funding for growth related roadway projects shall be obtained from Traffic Impact Fees. Please see revised Transportation Element policy table/matrix.	N/A	This is a new policy that was not part of the Planning Commission review in 2014. It results from review of the chapter and recommendation by Public Works to include it in the update.
14	Title 40, Unified Development Code	New proposed code revisions to Title 40.630.010 Impact Fee Revision	N/A	Upon further review of Title 40, changes are proposed for this code section to make the procedure for Park Impact Fee revisions consistent with all other impact fee revision procedures.